

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION**

Ted Marcum,  
Plaintiff

Case No. 1:06-cv-822

vs

Richard Jones, et al.,  
Defendants

**REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATION**  
(Weber, Sr. J.; Hogan, M.J.)

This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's "Motion for Temporary Protective Order and Motion for Emergency Temporary Injunction" and defendants' memorandum in opposition. (Docs. 15, 16).

Plaintiff, a former inmate at the Butler County Jail in Hamilton, Ohio, initiated this action with the filing of a pro se complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against defendants Richard Jones, the Butler County Sheriff, Lt. Deputy Warden Michael Craft of the Butler County Sheriff's Department, and the Butler County Commissioners. Plaintiff's complaint challenges several conditions of his prior confinement at the Butler County Jail, including the prohibition on receiving publications from outside publishers, alleged "overcrowding" and double-celling of inmates at the jail, and the lack of outdoor recreational opportunities at the Jail.

In the present motion, plaintiff seeks injunctive relief ordering the Butler County, Ohio Sheriff to immediately cease "double- bunking" and to permit

inmates to receive publications through the mail. Plaintiff also requests that this Court “issue a Temporary Protective Order (T.P.O.) cautioning the named defendants in this lawsuit . . . from harming harassing or injuring the plaintiff during the pendency of this lawsuit.” (Doc. 15, p. 2).

To the extent plaintiff seeks injunctive relief, his claims are moot since he no longer resides at the Butler County Jail. *Abdur-Rahman v. Mich. Dept. of Corrections*, 65 F.3d 489, 491 (6th Cir. 1995). As demonstrated by plaintiff’s Notice of Change of Address filed on August 14, 2007 (Doc. 17), and the certified copy of Judgment of Conviction Entry appended to defendants’ memorandum, (Doc. 16, Ex. 1), plaintiff is now in the custody of the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction, and is currently housed at the Ross Correction Camp in Chillicothe, Ohio. “Mootness results when events occur during the pendency of the litigation which render the court unable to grant the requested relief.” *Berger v. Cuyahoga County Bar Ass’n*, 983 F.2d 718, 724 (6th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 113 S.Ct. 2416 (1993), citing *Carras v. Williams*, 807 F.2d 1286, 1289 (6th Cir. 1986). Accordingly, the Court is without jurisdiction to consider plaintiff’s claims for injunctive relief.


As for plaintiff’s motion for a Temporary Protective Order, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure do not provide for the issuance of Temporary Protective Orders. Construing the plaintiff’s motion as one seeking a temporary restraining

order pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(b), Plaintiff's motion fails to comport with the requirements of Rule 65. Plaintiff has not described any actual harassment, injury, or harm suffered, nor has he made any showing that he will suffer immediate and irreparable injury, loss or damage, in the absence of a temporary restraining order. *U.S. v. Bayshore Associates, Inc.*, 934 F.2d 1391, 1398 (6th Cir. 1991); *In Re King World Productions, Inc.*, 898 F.2d 56, 59 (6th Cir. 1990); *Project Vote! V. Ohio Bureau of Employment Services*, 578 F. Supp. 7, 9 (S.D. Ohio 1982) (Spiegel, J.). See also *Southern Milk Sales, Inc. v. Martin*, 924 F.2d 98, 103 n.3 (6th Cir. 1991); *Newsom v. Norris*, 888 F.2d 371, 373 (6th Cir. 1989); *Frisch's Restaurant, Inc. v. Shoney's, Inc.*, 759 F.2d 1261, 1263 (6th Cir. 1985). Moreover, as plaintiff no longer resides at the Butler County Jail, his TRO claims are likewise moot for the reasons set forth above.

**IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED THAT** plaintiff's motion be  
**DENIED.**

Date:

9/6/07

  
Timothy S. Hogan  
United States Magistrate Judge

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION**

Ted Marcum,  
Plaintiff

Case No. 1:06-cv-822

vs

Richard Jones, et al.,  
Defendants

**REPORT AND  
RECOMMENDATION**  
(Weber, Sr. J.; Hogan, M.J.)

**NOTICE**

Attached hereto is the Report and Recommended decision of the Honorable Timothy S. Hogan, United States Magistrate Judge, which was filed on 9-6-07. Any party may object to the Magistrate's findings, recommendations, and report within (10) days after being served with a copy thereof or further appeal is waived. *See United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981). Such parties shall file with the Clerk of Court, and serve on all Parties, the Judge, and the Magistrate, a written Motion to Review which shall specifically identify the portions of the proposed findings, recommendations, or report to which objection is made along with a memorandum of law setting forth the basis for such objection, (such parties shall file with the Clerk a transcript of the specific portions of any evidentiary proceedings to which an objection is made).

In the event a party files a Motion to Review the Magistrate's Findings, Recommendations and Report, all other parties shall respond to said Motion to Review within ten (10) days after being served a copy thereof

Is your RETURN ADDRESS completed on the reverse side?	<b>SENDER:</b> ■ Complete items 1 and/or 2 for additional services. ■ Complete items 3, 4a, and 4b. ■ Print your name and address on the reverse of this form so that we can return this card to you. ■ Attach this form to the front of the mailpiece, or on the back if space does not permit. ■ Write "Return Receipt Requested" on the mailpiece below the article number. ■ The Return Receipt will show to whom the article was delivered and the date delivered.		I also wish to receive the following services (for an extra fee): 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Addressee's Address 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Restricted Delivery Consult postmaster for fee.	
	3. Article Addressed to: Ted Marcum #54A-341 Ross. Corr. Camp PO Box 7010 Chillicothe, OH 45601		4a. Article Number 7002 0860 0000 1409 2290	
	4b. Service Type <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Insured <input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise <input type="checkbox"/> COD		7. Date of Delivery	
	5. Received By: (Print Name)		8. Addressee's Address (Only if requested and fee is paid)	
	6. Signature: (Addressee or Agent) X			
PS Form 3811, December 1994 102595-97-B-0179 Domestic Return Receipt				

Thank you for using Return Receipt Service.

1:06cv822 Doc. 25